

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2026  
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.37139/2025)

KRISHNAMURTHY N.

APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. Application (IA No.8760/2026) for intervention is allowed.
3. Heard learned senior counsel for the appellant as well as as learned counsel for the intervenor (Citizens' Action Forum) from the appellant's side. We have also heard learned Solicitor General of India as well as learned Advocate General, representing the State of Karnataka and Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned senior counsel, representing the other private entities.
4. It seems to us that no serious prejudice will be caused to either of the parties by directing the Authorities to not allow creation of any third-party rights by the grantee, in the event of grant of Premium FAR, till the matter is finally decided by the High Court.
5. Since the issues sought to be raised are predominantly questions of law, we request the High Court to make an endeavour to decide the main case within a

period of eight weeks.

6. It is clarified that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

7. Though, the applicant in I.A. No.8760/2026, namely, Citizens' Action Forum has been permitted to assist this Court, it is clarified that the organisation, if so advised, may file its separate writ petition before the High Court.

8. The appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

.....CJI.  
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.  
(JOYMALYA BAGCHI)

New Delhi;  
January 12, 2026

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).37139/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-12-2025 in WA No.1983/2025 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

KRISHNAMURTHY N

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.332351/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA NO.8760/2026 - APPLICATION FOR INTERVENTION)

Date : 12-01-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Naveen Chawla, Adv.  
Mrs. Nayanatara Bg, Adv.  
Mr. Chengappa Cc, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Singhal, Adv.  
Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shashi Kiran Shetty, Advocate General  
Mr. Aman Panwar, A.A.G.  
Mr. Sanchit Garga, AOR  
Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.  
Ms. Kalyani Bhide, Adv.  
Mr. Muthu Thangathurai, Adv.  
Mr. Manav Kaushik, Adv.  
Mr. Adoorya Harish, Adv.  
Mr. Adithya Chandrashekar, Adv.  
Mr. Anishka Vaishnav, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General  
Mr. Aman Mehta, Adv.  
Mr. Aman Panwar, Adv.  
Mr. Mudit Gupta, AOR  
Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Adoorya Harish, Adv.  
Mr. Adithya Chandrashekar, Adv.  
Ms. Anishka Vaishnav, Adv.

Ms. Nitya Kaligotla, Adv.  
Ms. Shivani Vij, AOR  
Mr. Varun Kasthuri, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.  
Ms. Divya Anand, AOR  
Mr. Tanishq Kashyap, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. Application (IA No.8760/2026) for intervention is allowed.
3. The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.
4. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(signed order is placed on the file)

(PREETHI T.C.)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR